



The Last 13 Months

ending November 30th , 2025


Protective Services Division, Data Evaluation Unit
PSData@cyfd.nm.gov




Foster Care Entries, Exits, and Short Stays




Children in Care by Placement Type (Count during the month)




Placement Moves and Initial Relative Placements




Children with a Plan of Adoption




Caseworker Visits




Resource Families





New & Discontinued Resource Families








Last 13 Months Report: Ending November 2025







| | County Data | | | | | | | | |
|-----------------------|-------------|-------------------------|----------------|---------|-------|-------------|-------|----|-------------|
| | Reports | | Investigations | | | Foster Care | | | Short Stays |
| | Accepted | % with 3+ Prior Reports | Total Pending | Overdue | % Sub | Entries | Exits | | |
| Cibola | 9 | 66.7% | 8 | 0 | 36.0% | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| McKinley | 45 | 37.8% | 72 | 5 | 28.6% | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| San Juan | 111 | 34.2% | 175 | 10 | 19.8% | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 |
| Sandoval | 114 | 20.2% | 191 | 53 | 13.0% | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Torrance | 16 | 37.5% | 22 | 2 | 3.6% | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Valencia | 72 | 36.1% | 129 | 35 | 17.7% | 5 | 8 | 2 | 2 |
| Northwest Total | 367 | 31.6% | 597 | 105 | 18.0% | 8 | 10 | 4 | 4 |
| Colfax/Union | 15 | 20.0% | 23 | 1 | 26.3% | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| San Miguel/Guad./Mora | 38 | 36.8% | 58 | 6 | 42.0% | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Rio Arriba/Los Alamos | 31 | 32.3% | 109 | 68 | 18.1% | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Santa Fe | 72 | 19.4% | 133 | 34 | 27.0% | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 |
| Taos | 34 | 44.1% | 53 | 10 | 23.1% | 3 | 4 | 0 | 0 |
| Northeast Total | 190 | 29% | 376 | 119 | 26.6% | 5 | 10 | 2 | 2 |
| Bernalillo | 568 | 33.5% | 1021 | 620 | 15.3% | 25 | 7 | 2 | 2 |
| Metro | 568 | 33.5% | 1021 | 620 | 15.3% | 25 | 7 | 2 | 2 |
| Chaves | 57 | 31.6% | 176 | 85 | 39.4% | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Curry | 43 | 30.2% | 192 | 108 | 19.1% | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Quay/Harding/De Baca | 16 | 37.5% | 56 | 34 | 11.1% | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Eddy | 52 | 15.4% | 93 | 15 | 28.3% | 4 | 7 | 0 | 0 |
| Lea | 46 | 26.1% | 82 | 18 | 39.1% | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Roosevelt | 20 | 20.0% | 58 | 31 | 22.2% | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Southeast Total | 234 | 26.1% | 657 | 291 | 28.2% | 13 | 10 | 0 | 0 |
| Doña Ana | 230 | 33.5% | 347 | 43 | 19.8% | 11 | 6 | 0 | 0 |
| Grant/Catron | 32 | 62.5% | 82 | 35 | 15.4% | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| Luna/Hidalgo | 47 | 29.8% | 54 | 4 | 14.9% | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Otero | 57 | 38.6% | 101 | 21 | 2.9% | 0 | 1 | 2 | 2 |
| Sierra | 12 | 33.3% | 17 | 1 | 0.0% | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Socorro | 23 | 43.5% | 30 | 0 | 33.3% | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Lincoln | 13 | 23.1% | 20 | 1 | 7.1% | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Southwest Total | 414 | 36.2% | 651 | 105 | 15.4% | 14 | 7 | 3 | 3 |
| NEW MEXICO | 1773 | 32.3% | 3302 | 1240 | 19.1% | 65 | 44 | 11 | 11 |

| | County Data | | | | | | | |
|-----------------------|--------------------|-------------------------|--|-----------------------------------|--------------------------------|------------------|------------------------|---------------------|
| | Placements | | | | Children with Plan of Adoption | | | |
| | Total in Placement | % Placed with Relatives | Initial Relative Placements ¹ | Placement Moves Rate ² | Adoption Goal Only | TPR, No Resource | Pre-Adoptive Placement | Finalized Adoptions |
| Cibola | 12 | 66.7% | 100.0% | 0.00 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| McKinley | 34 | 47.1% | 0.0% | 3.77 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| San Juan | 41 | 26.8% | 0.0% | 3.38 | 7 | 7 | 0 | 0 |
| Sandoval | 18 | 55.6% | 0.0% | 0.00 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| Torrance | 20 | 45.0% | 0.0% | 0.00 | 7 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Valencia | 134 | 46.3% | 14.3% | 5.05 | 13 | 15 | 0 | 3 |
| Northwest Total | 259 | 44.8% | 25.0% | 3.62 | 36 | 27 | 0 | 3 |
| Colfax/Union | 13 | 53.8% | 0.0% | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| San Miguel/Guad./Mora | 55 | 61.8% | 33.3% | 3.03 | 6 | 10 | 0 | 1 |
| Rio Arriba/Los Alamos | 30 | 30.0% | 0.0% | 8.84 | 3 | 7 | 0 | 1 |
| Santa Fe | 50 | 46.0% | 0.0% | 5.38 | 10 | 5 | 0 | 2 |
| Taos | 69 | 78.3% | 100.0% | 0.51 | 11 | 6 | 0 | 1 |
| Northeast Total | 217 | 58.5% | 57.1% | 3.43 | 30 | 28 | 0 | 5 |
| Bernalillo | 873 | 46.5% | 51.9% | 1.98 | 138 | 84 | 3 | 2 |
| Metro | 873 | 46.5% | 51.9% | 1.98 | 138 | 84 | 3 | 2 |
| Chaves | 166 | 30.7% | 0.0% | 2.99 | 31 | 18 | 0 | 0 |
| Curry | 98 | 34.7% | 0.0% | 1.03 | 24 | 7 | 0 | 0 |
| Quay/Harding/De Baca | 19 | 10.5% | 0.0% | 8.33 | 4 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| Eddy | 101 | 59.4% | 0.0% | 2.38 | 2 | 16 | 6 | 1 |
| Lea | 138 | 41.3% | 28.6% | 3.23 | 21 | 23 | 5 | 2 |
| Roosevelt | 20 | 20.0% | 0.0% | 8.93 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Southeast Total | 542 | 38.4% | 15.4% | 2.99 | 84 | 66 | 11 | 3 |
| Doña Ana | 140 | 35.7% | 36.4% | 8.42 | 2 | 35 | 3 | 3 |
| Grant/Catron | 22 | 40.9% | 0.0% | 4.55 | 1 | 12 | 0 | 0 |
| Luna/Hidalgo | 19 | 31.6% | 100.0% | 1.89 | 7 | 3 | 0 | 0 |
| Otero | 46 | 43.5% | 100.0% | 2.16 | 5 | 16 | 1 | 1 |
| Sierra | 0 | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Socorro | 13 | 38.5% | 0.0% | 0.00 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Lincoln | 5 | 100.0% | 100.0% | 0.00 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Southwest Total | 245 | 38.8% | 52.9% | 5.74 | 15 | 68 | 4 | 4 |
| NEW MEXICO | 2136 | 44.6% | 42.1% | 3.01 | 303 | 273 | 18 | 17 |

¹Of total entries during the month, percentage of children placed with relatives as their first placement

²Placement moves per 1,000 days in foster care (all children). Calculated for the month only, not a rolling 12 months

| | County Data | | | | | | | |
|-----------------------|----------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------|---------------|---------------|------------------------|--------------------|---------|
| | Visits | | Foster Care Providers | | | | Staff ² | |
| | Visits with Children | Visits with Parents ¹ | Relatives | Non-Relatives | New Providers | Discontinued Providers | Turnover | Vacancy |
| Cibola | 90.0% | 0.0% | 13 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 30.4% | 14.3% |
| McKinley | 91.2% | 19.0% | 16 | 12 | 2 | 0 | 98.8% | 40.0% |
| San Juan | 97.4% | 60.0% | 14 | 26 | 1 | 4 | 40.9% | 18.2% |
| Sandoval | 72.2% | 0.0% | 34 | 24 | 2 | 0 | 67.1% | 25.0% |
| Torrance | 75.0% | 0.0% | 7 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 22.2% | 0.0% |
| Valencia | 94.2% | 9.7% | 54 | 25 | 4 | 7 | 22.9% | 0.0% |
| Northwest Total | 90.9% | 15.1% | 138 | 93 | 13 | 11 | 45.1% | 16.5% |
| Colfax/Union | 100.0% | 50.0% | 6 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 26.7% | 0.0% |
| San Miguel/Guad./Mora | 96.2% | 0.0% | 13 | 12 | 0 | 6 | 47.1% | 38.5% |
| Rio Arriba/Los Alamos | 89.7% | 21.1% | 9 | 12 | 1 | 1 | 96.8% | 25.0% |
| Santa Fe | 80.4% | 5.0% | 27 | 16 | 2 | 7 | 41.4% | 37.5% |
| Taos | 66.1% | 0.0% | 25 | 5 | 1 | 2 | 70.6% | 12.5% |
| Northeast Total | 82.7% | 4.5% | 80 | 48 | 4 | 16 | 55.0% | 28.6% |
| Bernalillo | 62.6% | 0.3% | 223 | 147 | 17 | 7 | 84.4% | 48.1% |
| Metro | 62.6% | 0.3% | 223 | 147 | 17 | 7 | 84.4% | 48.1% |
| Chaves | 92.1% | 9.7% | 29 | 24 | 0 | 2 | 42.0% | 10.0% |
| Curry | 96.9% | 12.8% | 26 | 18 | 0 | 3 | 80.8% | 15.4% |
| Quay/Harding/De Baca | 100.0% | 0.0% | 2 | 8 | 0 | 3 | 57.1% | 50.0% |
| Eddy | 96.9% | 16.9% | 35 | 17 | 1 | 8 | 17.0% | 35.3% |
| Lea | 95.1% | 4.5% | 32 | 23 | 2 | 4 | 35.3% | 10.5% |
| Roosevelt | 100.0% | 0.0% | 8 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 92.3% | 20.0% |
| Southeast Total | 95.2% | 9.8% | 132 | 95 | 4 | 20 | 44.4% | 19.2% |
| Doña Ana | 100.0% | 26.1% | 43 | 42 | 5 | 3 | 48.3% | 9.1% |
| Grant/Catron | 72.7% | 25.0% | 7 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 69.2% | 14.3% |
| Luna/Hidalgo | 100.0% | 62.5% | 8 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 56.3% | 28.6% |
| Otero | 100.0% | 27.8% | 15 | 13 | 1 | 1 | 9.7% | 9.1% |
| Sierra | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 200.0% | 50.0% |
| Socorro | 100.0% | 57.1% | 5 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 35.3% | 50.0% |
| Lincoln | 100.0% | 0.0% | 5 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 35.3% | 50.0% |
| Southwest Total | 97.3% | 31.7% | 83 | 70 | 8 | 5 | 49.0% | 15.4% |
| NEW MEXICO | 80.2% | 7.6% | 656 | 453 | 46 | 59 | 56.5% | 28.2% |

¹Of parents with a recommended or court-ordered goal of reunification, those visited in their place of residence

²Turnover and vacancy rates are calculated here for key county-level field positions: Investigations, PPW, and Placement workers. Both regular and senior workers are included; supervisors are excluded.

| | County Data | | | | | | | | | |
|-----------------------|---------------------|----------------|--------|--------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|--|
| | Estimated Caseloads | | | | Federal/LFC Measures ¹ | | | | | |
| | Invest. | Perm. Planning | Plcmt. | Repeat Maltx | Maltx in Foster Care | Perm. In 12 Mos. of Entry | Perm. In 12 Mos. (12-23) | Perm. In 12 Mos (24+) | Placement Moves Rate | |
| Cibola | 4.0 | 4.0 | 5.5 | 25.3% | 18.1 | 0.0% | 50.0% | 62.5% | 0.00 | |
| McKinley | 18.0 | 11.0 | 17.0 | 16.7% | 33.8 | 38.5% | 44.4% | 14.3% | 5.98 | |
| San Juan | 21.9 | 3.4 | 5.4 | 18.7% | 38.1 | 6.9% | 61.5% | 45.5% | 5.76 | |
| Sandoval | 21.0 | 8.0 | 9.5 | 9.1% | 33.9 | 7.1% | 37.5% | 66.7% | 6.51 | |
| Torrance | 22.0 | 11.0 | 19.0 | 12.8% | 0.0 | 50.0% | 20.0% | 33.3% | 3.93 | |
| Valencia | 25.8 | 15.2 | 25.4 | 15.2% | 16.3 | 39.1% | 33.3% | 37.1% | 4.57 | |
| Northwest Total | 20.5 | 8.3 | 13.1 | 16.4% | 22.7 | 30.2% | 38.8% | 40.9% | 5.17 | |
| Colfax/Union | 11.5 | 6.0 | 13.0 | 9.4% | 17.7 | 36.4% | 75.0% | 0.0% | 0.00 | |
| San Miguel/Guad./Mora | 14.5 | 17.0 | 27.0 | 18.2% | 64.4 | 15.0% | 22.2% | 68.6% | 9.45 | |
| Rio Arriba/Los Alamos | 54.5 | 8.3 | 11.0 | 13.2% | 0.0 | 13.3% | 16.7% | 14.3% | 18.89 | |
| Santa Fe | 19.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 8.3% | 24.0 | 25.0% | 37.5% | 0.0% | 16.34 | |
| Taos | 17.7 | 22.0 | 31.0 | 15.8% | 13.0 | 38.5% | 47.1% | 13.3% | 5.75 | |
| Northeast Total | 20.9 | 18.5 | 27.6 | 14.0% | 27.4 | 26.1% | 36.0% | 40.9% | 10.21 | |
| Bernalillo | 47.3 | 22.4 | 35.2 | 16.7% | 17.2 | 20.6% | 8.9% | 15.8% | 8.44 | |
| Metro | 47.3 | 22.4 | 35.2 | 16.7% | 17.2 | 20.6% | 8.9% | 15.8% | 8.44 | |
| Chaves | 25.1 | 14.3 | 23.1 | 17.3% | 17.0 | 28.2% | 19.6% | 9.3% | 7.33 | |
| Curry | 48.0 | 17.3 | 25.8 | 9.7% | 0.0 | 34.9% | 43.3% | 31.5% | 6.50 | |
| Quay/Harding/De Baca | 28.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 17.2% | 0.0 | 28.6% | 42.9% | 0.0% | 26.87 | |
| Eddy | 23.3 | 16.0 | 20.4 | 15.7% | 26.1 | 20.0% | 46.3% | 60.0% | 10.26 | |
| Lea | 13.7 | 11.1 | 19.1 | 15.4% | 14.7 | 19.6% | 55.6% | 32.7% | 10.26 | |
| Roosevelt | 29.0 | 13.0 | 19.0 | 10.2% | 0.0 | 10.0% | 71.4% | 0.0% | 7.61 | |
| Southeast Total | 26.3 | 14.0 | 21.5 | 15.2% | 13.5 | 26.8% | 39.0% | 32.7% | 9.09 | |
| Doña Ana | 19.3 | 6.7 | 9.7 | 16.6% | 14.9 | 31.9% | 37.0% | 34.8% | 9.72 | |
| Grant/Catron | 27.3 | 9.0 | 11.0 | 19.2% | 0.0 | 28.6% | 40.0% | 10.0% | 18.58 | |
| Luna/Hidalgo | 18.0 | 10.0 | 18.0 | 17.3% | 0.0 | 50.0% | 33.3% | 80.0% | 9.68 | |
| Otero | 33.7 | 7.5 | 11.5 | 6.8% | 21.1 | 9.5% | 41.2% | 27.8% | 7.89 | |
| Sierra | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 6.3% | 0.0 | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.00 | |
| Socorro | 15.0 | 4.0 | 5.0 | 46.7% | 0.0 | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 3.87 | |
| Lincoln | 0.0 | 4.0 | 5.0 | 46.7% | 0.0 | 0.0% | 100.0% | 0.0% | 1.22 | |
| Southwest Total | 22.4 | 7.2 | 10.4 | 16.1% | 12.1 | 25.4% | 41.7% | 34.9% | 9.06 | |
| NEW MEXICO | 27.5 | 14.1 | 21.6 | 15.9% | 17.5 | 24.9% | 26.0% | 28.0% | 8.45 | |

¹Federal performance measures use a rolling 12-month measurement window that varies depending on the measure. While rolling measurement produces a more stable calculation, trends of improvement will be slow to appear.

For more information on how federal measures are calculated, see notes on the data starting on page 10.

| | County Data | | | | | | | | |
|-----------------------|----------------------------|--------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------|---------------------|--------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------|
| | Over Payments | | | | | TPRs | | | |
| | N Child Care Over Payments | N PS Over Payments | \$ Child Care Over Payments | \$ PS Over Payments | Total Over Payments | TPRs Pending | Pending TPRs Filed within 45 Days | % Pending TPRs Filed Timely | Children Freed |
| Cibola | 0 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0% | 0 |
| McKinley | 0 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0% | 0 |
| San Juan | 1 | 1 | -\$38 | -\$253 | -\$291 | 0 | 0 | 0.0% | 0 |
| Sandoval | 0 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | 1 | 0 | 0.0% | 0 |
| Torrance | 0 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | 2 | 0 | 0.0% | 0 |
| Valencia | 0 | 1 | \$0 | -\$321 | -\$321 | 0 | 0 | 0.0% | 0 |
| Northwest Total | 1 | 2 | -\$38 | -\$574 | -\$612 | 3 | 0 | 0.0% | 0 |
| Colfax/Union | 0 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0% | 0 |
| San Miguel/Guad./Mora | 0 | 1 | \$0 | -\$230 | -\$230 | 0 | 0 | 0.0% | 0 |
| Rio Arriba/Los Alamos | 0 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0% | 0 |
| Santa Fe | 2 | 2 | -\$8,200 | -\$438 | -\$8,638 | 0 | 0 | 0.0% | 0 |
| Taos | 0 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0% | 0 |
| Northeast Total | 2 | 3 | -\$8,200 | -\$668 | -\$8,868 | 0 | 0 | 0.0% | 0 |
| Bernalillo | 4 | 21 | -\$3,176 | -\$17,023 | -\$20,199 | 4 | 1 | 25.0% | 0 |
| Metro | 4 | 21 | -\$3,176 | -\$17,023 | -\$20,199 | 4 | 1 | 25.0% | 0 |
| Chaves | 1 | 5 | -\$2,250 | -\$1,999 | -\$4,249 | 2 | 0 | 0.0% | 0 |
| Curry | 0 | 2 | \$0 | -\$1,503 | -\$1,503 | 0 | 0 | 0.0% | 0 |
| Quay/Harding/De Baca | 0 | 4 | \$0 | -\$1,518 | -\$1,518 | 0 | 0 | 0.0% | 0 |
| Eddy | 0 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0% | 2 |
| Lea | 0 | 3 | \$0 | -\$894 | -\$894 | 2 | 0 | 0.0% | 0 |
| Roosevelt | 0 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0% | 0 |
| Southeast Total | 1 | 14 | -\$2,250 | -\$5,914 | -\$8,164 | 4 | 0 | 0.0% | 2 |
| Doña Ana | 3 | 1 | -\$4,528 | -\$167 | -\$4,695 | 5 | 1 | 20.0% | 2 |
| Grant/Catron | 0 | 2 | \$0 | -\$329 | -\$329 | 0 | 0 | 0.0% | 0 |
| Luna/Hidalgo | 0 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0% | 0 |
| Otero | 0 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0% | 0 |
| Sierra | 0 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0% | 0 |
| Socorro | 0 | 1 | \$0 | -\$439 | -\$439 | 0 | 0 | 0.0% | 0 |
| Lincoln | 0 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0% | 0 |
| Southwest Total | 3 | 4 | -\$4,528 | -\$935 | -\$5,463 | 5 | 1 | 20.0% | 2 |
| NEW MEXICO | 11 | 44 | -\$18,192 | -\$25,114 | -\$43,306 | 16 | 2 | 12.5% | 4 |

¹TPR calculations are based on the days between the date that FACTs Notes the charge of Plan was ordered and the TPR was filed.

For more information on how federal measures are calculated, see notes on the data starting on page 10.

| | County Data | | | | | | | |
|--------------------------|------------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------|--------------------------|
| | Monthly PS Staff Supervision | | | | | | | |
| | N PS Staff Filled FTEs | % of PS Staff Supervised | N Field Staff FTEs | % of Field Staff Supervised | N Other Staff FTEs | % of Other Staff Supervised | N Lead. Staff FTEs | % Lead. Staff Supervised |
| Northern | 30 | 0% | 13 | 0% | 15 | 0% | 2 | 0 |
| Metro | 25 | 12% | 7 | 0% | 17 | 18% | 1 | 0 |
| Southern | 13 | 0% | 8 | 0% | 5 | 0% | 0 | 0 |
| FAMILY SERVICES | 68 | 4% | 28 | 0% | 37 | 8% | 3 | 0% |
| Northern | 10 | 30% | 7 | 43% | 1 | 0% | 2 | 0% |
| Metro | 24 | 75% | 16 | 100% | 8 | 25% | 0 | 0% |
| Southern | 14 | 100% | 14 | 100% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| FOST. CONNECTIONS | 48 | 73% | 37 | 89% | 9 | 22% | 2 | 0% |
| Northern | 90 | 2% | 82 | 2% | 6 | 0% | 2 | 0% |
| Metro | 58 | 7% | 49 | 2% | 8 | 38% | 1 | 0% |
| Southern | 102 | 0% | 95 | 0% | 6 | 0% | 1 | 0% |
| INVESTIGATIONS | 250 | 2% | 226 | 1% | 20 | 15% | 4 | 0% |
| Northern | 23 | 26% | 0 | 0% | 22 | 27% | 1 | 0% |
| Metro | 20 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 20 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| Southern | 21 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 21 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| LEGAL | 64 | 9% | 0 | 0% | 63 | 10% | 1 | 0% |
| Northern | 33 | 24% | 3 | 67% | 29 | 21% | 1 | 0% |
| Metro | 22 | 18% | 0 | 0% | 22 | 18% | 0 | 0% |
| Southern | 35 | 0% | 2 | 0% | 33 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| OPERATIONS | 90 | 13% | 5 | 40% | 84 | 12% | 1 | 0% |
| Northern | 68 | 7% | 54 | 9% | 12 | 0% | 2 | 0% |
| Metro | 53 | 0% | 45 | 0% | 7 | 0% | 1 | 0% |
| Southern | 94 | 0% | 79 | 0% | 13 | 0% | 2 | 0% |
| PERMANENCY | 215 | 2% | 178 | 3% | 32 | 0% | 5 | 0% |
| Northern | 47 | 64% | 31 | 52% | 14 | 86% | 2 | 100% |
| Metro | 26 | 0% | 18 | 72% | 7 | 86% | 1 | 0% |
| Southern | 47 | 83% | 36 | 83% | 11 | 82% | 0 | 0% |
| PLACEMENT | 120 | 73% | 85 | 69% | 32 | 84% | 3 | 67% |
| Intake & REACH | 66 | 61% | 0 | 0% | 65 | 62% | 1 | 0% |
| Receiving Center | 17 | 35% | 0 | 0% | 17 | 35% | 0 | 0% |
| SCI | 83 | 55% | 0 | 0% | 82 | 56% | 1 | 0% |
| NEW MEXICO | 938 | 21% | 559 | 18% | 359 | 27% | 20 | 10% |

| Family Outreach: Community Prevention Response * | | | | | | | | |
|--|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--|---|---|-------------------|
| | Families referred to Outreach | Outreach Accepted Screen Outs | % of Outreach Accepted Screen Outs | % Referred, not accepted by Outreach | % Outreach accepted, unable to contact | % Outreach accepted & referred to Srvs. | % Contact made, family did not accept Srvs. | % Engagement Rate |
| Cibola | 5 | 3 | 60.0% | 40.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% |
| McKinley | 5 | 0 | 0.0% | 100.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 40.0% | 0.0% |
| San Juan | 38 | 29 | 76.3% | 23.7% | 34.5% | 21.1% | 26.3% | 44.4% |
| Sandoval | 31 | 26 | 83.9% | 16.1% | 42.3% | 6.5% | 35.5% | 15.4% |
| Torrance | 7 | 7 | 100.0% | 0.0% | 28.6% | 14.3% | 42.9% | 25.0% |
| Valencia | 37 | 30 | 81.1% | 18.9% | 26.7% | 24.3% | 37.8% | 39.1% |
| Northwest Total | 123 | 95 | 77.2% | 22.8% | 33.7% | 16.3% | 32.5% | 33.3% |
| Colfax/Union | 0 | 0 | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% |
| San Miguel/Guad./Mora | 10 | 7 | 70.0% | 30.0% | 85.7% | 30.0% | 60.0% | 33.3% |
| Rio Arriba/Los Alamos | 23 | 16 | 69.6% | 30.4% | 6.3% | 4.3% | 26.1% | 14.3% |
| Santa Fe | 28 | 23 | 82.1% | 17.9% | 52.2% | 14.3% | 32.1% | 30.8% |
| Taos | 4 | 3 | 75.0% | 25.0% | 66.7% | 0.0% | 25.0% | 0.0% |
| Northeast Total | 65 | 49 | 75.4% | 24.6% | 42.9% | 12.3% | 33.8% | 26.7% |
| Bernalillo | 258 | 208 | 80.6% | 19.8% | 47.6% | 21.3% | 21.7% | 49.5% |
| Metro | 258 | 208 | 80.6% | 19.4% | 47.6% | 21.3% | 21.7% | 49.5% |
| Chaves | 30 | 19 | 63.3% | 36.7% | 52.6% | 13.3% | 16.7% | 44.4% |
| Curry | 4 | 0 | 0.0% | 100.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% |
| Quay/Harding/De Baca | 0 | 0 | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% |
| Eddy | 9 | 8 | 88.9% | 11.1% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 33.3% | 0.0% |
| Lea | 15 | 14 | 93.3% | 6.7% | 35.7% | 26.7% | 33.3% | 44.4% |
| Roosevelt | 2 | 0 | 0.0% | 100.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% |
| Southeast Total | 60 | 41 | 68.3% | 31.7% | 48.8% | 13.3% | 21.7% | 38.1% |
| Doña Ana | 82 | 62 | 75.6% | 24.4% | 51.6% | 12.2% | 23.2% | 34.5% |
| Grant/Catron | 12 | 10 | 83.3% | 16.7% | 20.0% | 8.3% | 58.3% | 12.5% |
| Luna/Hidalgo | 17 | 16 | 94.1% | 5.9% | 50.0% | 35.3% | 11.8% | 75.0% |
| Otero | 12 | 8 | 66.7% | 33.3% | 75.0% | 8.3% | 8.3% | 50.0% |
| Sierra | 3 | 3 | 100.0% | 0.0% | 33.3% | 33.3% | 33.3% | 50.0% |
| Socorro | 5 | 5 | 100.0% | 0.0% | 40.0% | 20.0% | 40.0% | 33.3% |
| Lincoln | 0 | 0 | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% |
| Southwest Total | 131 | 104 | 79.4% | 20.6% | 49.0% | 15.3% | 24.4% | 38.5% |
| Unassigned | 1 | | | | | | | 0 |
| TOTALS | 638 | 497 | 77.9% | 22.1% | 44.9% | 17.4% | 32.8% | 40.5% |

*Methodology revised and engagement rate added by FO Management beginning in August 2025 (see pg. 19-20 for details)

| | County Data | | | | | | | |
|------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------------------|-----------|---------------|-----------|----------|----------|----------|
| | Discharge Reason | | | | | | | |
| | Total Children Discharged | Children Discharged to Guardianship | Adoptions | Reunification | Other | | | |
| Cibola | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| McKinley | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| San Juan | 3 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sandoval | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Torrance | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Valencia | 10 | 1 | 3 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Northwest Total | 16 | 1 | 3 | 9 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Colfax/Union | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| San Miguel/Guad./Mora | 3 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Rio Arriba/Los Alamos | 2 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Santa Fe | 4 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Taos | 4 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Northeast Total | 13 | 0 | 5 | 5 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Bernalillo | 17 | 1 | 7 | 4 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Metro | 17 | 1 | 7 | 4 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Chaves | 3 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Curry | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Quay/Harding/De Baca | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Eddy | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Lea | 7 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Roosevelt | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Southeast Total | 12 | 3 | 3 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Doña Ana | 9 | 1 | 4 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Grant/Catron | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Luna/Hidalgo | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Otero | 3 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sierra | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Socorro | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Lincoln | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Southwest Total | 13 | 1 | 5 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Family Resource Center | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Receiving Center | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Intake | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| SCI | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| NEW MEXICO | 71 | 6 | 23 | 30 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Notes on the data

SCI Reports: Counts of reports processed by SCI come from the FACTS system via the sm01a10 report. "Repeat Referrals" is defined here as any report involving a family who has 3 or more prior reports, identified in the sm01a07 report. Percentage of "repeat referrals" is calculated as follows:

| | |
|---------------------|--|
| Numerator: | Reports involving a family with 3+ prior reports |
| Denominator: | Total accepted reports |

Pending Investigations: Counts are pulled from ROM on the last day of each month. The totals are shown above each column.

Completed Investigations: These data are pulled from ROM and include a total of all investigations closed during the month. Percentage of cases substantiated is shown as an unlabeled line on the secondary (right-hand) axis. This percentage is calculated as follows:

| | |
|---------------------|--------------------------------|
| Numerator: | Substantiated investigations |
| Denominator: | Total completed investigations |

Foster Care Entries, Exits, and Short Stays: These data come from ROM. Both entries and exits exclude short stays, which are defined as foster care episodes lasting 8 or fewer days.

Children in Care by Placement Type (during the month): These data are pulled from ROM and visualization is focused on relative placements. The category of "other" includes congregate care settings, runaways, children on trial home visits, and children in pre-adoptive homes. A greater level of detail can be found in ROM ("Placement Type").

Placement Moves and Initial Relative Placements: These data are calculated through ROM. The placement moves rate shown in this chart is a month-to-month rate, rather than the rolling 12-month measure included as a federal indicator. Percent of all entries is calculated with short stayers included. These measures are calculated as follows:

| Initial Relative Placements | | Placement Moves Rate | |
|------------------------------------|--|-----------------------------|--|
| Numerator: | Children placed with relatives at removal | Numerator: | Moves from one placement to another in the month |
| Denominator: | Children placed in care for any length of stay | Denominator: | Total days in foster care during the month by all children in care x 1000 |

Children with a Plan of Adoption: These data, which come from ROM, are intended to track statewide progress addressing adoption and TPR backlogs. Generally, we hope to see the distribution of children with an adoption goal skew more toward the top of the column. We have seen some steady progress on this issue thanks to numerous efforts around the state.

notes on the data (continued)

Caseworker Visits: Caseworker visits with children are displayed in ROM in two reports: One showing a point-in-time count of completed visits, and one showing a historical percentage of completed visits for previous months. At this time, there is no ROM report that captures worker-parent visits. These percentages are calculated twice each month using FACTS report sm0809. The report is run one week prior to the end of the month, and again one week after the end of the month. Data presented in this document are based on the latter. The percentages are calculated as follows:

| Worker-Child Visits | | Worker-Parent Visits | |
|----------------------------|--|-----------------------------|--|
| Numerator: | Number of children with a visit during the month | Numerator: | Number of parents visited in their place of residence during the month |
| Denominator: | Children in foster care for the entire month | Denominator: | Parents of children in custody with a court-ordered or recommended plan of reunification |

Providers: PS continues efforts to recruit and retain a diverse pool of foster parents to meet the needs of our children in care. Data used for this reporting is obtained from the FACTS report pm02a01. Foster parents who are newly licensed or no longer providing services are identified through month-to-month comparison of this licensed provider inventory.

Turnover & Vacancy Rates for Key PS Field Positions: These data are obtained from PS human resources. For this report, turnover and vacancy are presented for key county-level field positions: Investigations, Permanency Planning, and Placement workers and senior workers. Supervisors are excluded. A broader turnover calculation is reported quarterly to the Legislative Finance Committee. The positions included here drive turnover and vacancy at the county level, and staff retention for these positions is crucial for casework continuity and positive client outcomes. They are calculated as follows:

| Vacancy Rate | | Turnover Rate | |
|---------------------|---|----------------------|---|
| Numerator: | Number of vacancies (last day of month) | Numerator: | Rolling 12-month sum of voluntary staff separations |
| Denominator: | Total number of positions | Denominator: | Rolling 12-month average number of filled positions |

Estimated Caseloads: These are calculated using data from ROM, FACTS reports, and PS human resources. Each caseload calculation is based on a different definition of "case," depending on the position. Investigation caseloads are calculated using all pending reports and those that come in during the month (divided by number of investigators); permanency planning caseloads are based on the number of children in foster care during the month (divided by number of PPWs); and placement caseloads are calculated based on the number of provider families, including ICPC families residing in-state (divided by number of placement workers). The Child Welfare League of America has established maximum caseload standards, which are included in the chart legend.

Repeat Maltreatment: This measure is calculated for us in ROM and is a federal reporting measure that uses a 12-month rolling reporting period. Because the children in the denominator experienced their initial incident of maltreatment (substantiated allegation) at least one year ago, improvement on this measure can take some time to show in the data.

| | |
|--|---|
| Target: $\leq 9.1\%$ | Numerator: Of the denominator, number of children who had another incident of maltreatment within 12 months |
| | Denominator: Number of unique children who experienced maltreatment during the 12-month target period (12-23 months ago) |

notes on the data (continued)

Maltreatment in Foster Care: This measure is calculated for us in ROM and is a federal reporting measure that uses a 12-month rolling reporting period. It calculates a rate of maltreatment for children in foster care based on when they are in foster care, regardless of the perpetrator's relationship to the child. The rate is based on 100,000 foster care days.

| | |
|---|--|
| Target: ≤ 8.5 | Numerator: Number of substantiated reports pertaining to children included in the denominator calculation |
| Denominator: Number of total days spent in foster care by the population of foster children in a rolling 12-month period | $\times 100,000$ |

Permanency in 12 Months of Entry: This measure is calculated for us in ROM and is a federal reporting measure that uses a 12-month rolling reporting period. It uses an entry cohort of children in foster care that goes back a few years so that its companion measure, foster care re-entries, can be calculated using the children in this numerator as the latter measure's denominator. As such, practice improvements pertaining to this outcome measure can be very slow to affect this measure.

| | |
|---|--|
| Target: $\geq 40.5\%$ | Numerator: Of the denominator, children for whom permanency (reunification, adoption, or permanent guardianship) is achieved within 12 months |
| Denominator: Number of children who entered foster care (excluding short-stayers) during the 12-month period ending two years before the current month | |

Permanency in 12 Months for Children in Care 12-23 Months: This measure is calculated similarly to the one above, only this measure's "entry cohort" includes children who have already been in foster care for 12-23 months at the start of the target period. This measure helps us assess our ongoing efforts to achieve permanent outcomes for children for whom we do not do so within the first year.

| | |
|---|--|
| Target: $\geq 43.6\%$ | Numerator: Of the denominator, children for whom permanency (reunification, adoption, or permanent guardianship) is achieved within 12 months |
| Denominator: Number of children who had been in foster care for 12-23 months at the start of a rolling 12-month period | |

Permanency in 12 Months for Children in Care 24+ Months: This measure is calculated similarly to the ones above, only this measure's "entry cohort" includes children who have already been in foster care for 24 months or more at the start of the target period. This measure helps us assess our ongoing efforts to achieve permanent outcomes for "long-stayers," a group that often includes children with complex needs.

| | |
|---|---|
| Target: $\geq 30.3\%$ | Numerator: Of the denominator, children for whom permanency (likely adoption or permanent guardianship) is achieved within 12 months |
| Denominator: Number of children who had been in foster care for 24+ months at the start of a rolling 12-month period | |

Placement Moves Rate per 1,000 Days of Foster Care (Rolling 12 Months): This is the federal version of the less stable monthly placement moves rate calculation. It uses a rolling 12 month period of measurement, but is calculated the same way. Due to the 12-month time period, initiatives intended to improve placement stability will not impact this outcome very quickly; short-term assessment would be better served using the monthly calculation.

| | |
|--|---|
| Target: ≤ 4.12 | Numerator: Number of placement moves |
| Denominator: Total days in foster care during the rolling 12-month period (ending with the current month) by all children in care | $\times 1,000$ |

notes on the data (continued)

PS Staff Monthly Supervision: This measure is calculated on a monthly basis reflecting a ratio between total supervision for Protective Services (PS) Staff and Filled FTEs (Full-Time Employees). 'PS Staff' includes; Field Staff, Other Staff & Leadership Staff.

| | |
|---------------------------------------|---|
| Target: $\geq 80\%$ | Numerator: Number of 'PS Staff' Supervised for the reported time period. |
| | Denominator: Number of total Filled 'PS Staff' FTEs (Full Time Employees) for the reported time period |

Field Staff Monthly Supervision: This measure is calculated on a monthly basis reflecting a ratio between total supervision for PS Field Staff and Field FTEs (Full-Time Employees). PS Field Staff includes: COMs, Investigations, In-Home Services, Permenancy, Placement.

| | |
|---------------------------------------|--|
| Target: $\geq 80\%$ | Numerator: Number of 'Field Staff' Supervised for the reported time period. |
| | Denominator: Number of total Filled 'Field Staff' FTEs (Full Time Employees) for the reported time period |

Other Staff Monthly Supervision: This measure is calculated on a monthly basis reflecting a ratio between total supervision for PS Other Staff and Other FTEs (Full-Time Employees). PS 'Other Staff' include and are not limited to: All non-field/non-caseload work roles (Admin., Legal, Adoptions, Central Office Special Programs etc.)

| | |
|---------------------------------------|--|
| Target: $\geq 80\%$ | Numerator: Number of 'Other Staff' Supervised for the reported time period. |
| | Denominator: Number of total Filled 'Other Staff' FTEs (Full Time Employees) for the reported time period |

Leadership Staff Monthly Supervision: This measure is calculated on a monthly basis reflecting a ratio between total supervision for Protective Services Leadership Staff and Leadership FTEs (Full-Time Employees). PS 'Leadership Staff' includes: all leadership roles from Regional Office Manager and above.

| | |
|---------------------------------------|---|
| Target: $\geq 80\%$ | Numerator: Number of 'Leadership Staff' Supervised for the reported time period. |
| | Denominator: Number of total Filled 'Leadership Staff' FTEs (Full Time Employees) for the reported time period |

Families Referred to Family Outreach & Community Prevention: All Families referred to Family Outreach through SCI, the community or self-referrals for eligibility.

Families Accepted by Family Outreach & Community Prevention: All Families referred to Family Outreach through SCI, the community or self-referrals that are accepted.

| | |
|----------------------------------|--|
| Target: \geq | Numerator: Number of Families accepted by Family Outreach for the reported time period |
| | Denominator: Number of Families referred to Family Outreach through SCI, community or self-referrals for eligibility. |

notes on the data (continued)

Families Not Accepted by Family Outreach & Community Prevention: Families that did not meet Family Outreach criteria.

(Families referred to Outreach minus Families accepted = Referred, not accepted by Outreach/vetoed)

| | |
|---------------------|--|
| Target: > | Numerator: Number of Outreach not accepted (Vetoed) for the reported time period. |
| | Denominator: Number of Families referred to Family Outreach through SCI, community or self-referrals for eligibility. |

Families Accepted and Unable to Contact by Family Outreach & Community Prevention: Families accepted but advocates are unable to contact despite best efforts, those referrals are documented on the Family Outreach Log Family Outreach Contact tab as being Unable to Contact.

| | |
|---------------------|--|
| Target: > | Numerator: Number of Outreach accepted in which no contact was made with the family for the reported time period. |
| | Denominator: Number of Families accepted by Family Outreach for the reported time period |

Families Accepted & Referred to Services by Family Outreach & Community Prevention: Total number of Families referred to resources, pending questionnaire/referral form completion, pending provider staffing/acceptance of case, and referral created.

| | |
|---------------------|--|
| Target: > | Numerator: Number of Outreach accepted screen outs in which referral was made to services for the reported time period. |
| | Denominator: Number of Families accepted by Family Outreach for the reported time period |

Families that did not accept services from Family Outreach & Community Prevention: Total number of families that declined Family Outreach services, Families that are already engaged in outside services, and Families disengaged from Family Outreach before questionnaire/referral.

| | |
|---------------------|--|
| Target: > | Numerator: Number of Outreach accepted Families where contact was made and Family declined services for the reported time period. |
| | Denominator: Number of Families accepted by Family Outreach for the reported time period |

Family Outreach & Community Prevention, Family Engagement Rate: Total number of families engaged if they are currently working with an Advocate, receptive of informal connections to resources and/or referred to a contracted community-based Family Outreach provider.

| | |
|---------------------|---|
| Target: > | Numerator: Families referred to resources, pending questionnaire/referral form completion, pending provider staffing/acceptance of case, and referral created. |
| | Denominator: Families where Family Outreach staff made contact and referred to services plus families where contact was made and services were declined. |

Children Discharged to Guardianship: This measure is calculated on a monthly basis reflecting a ratio between total children discharged and children discharged to guardianship.

| | |
|---------------------|---|
| Target: > | Numerator: Total children discharged from foster care for the reported time period. |
| | Denominator: Total children discharged to guardianship for the reported time period. |