




# The Last 13 Months


ending March 31st, 2026

Protective Services Division, Data Evaluation Unit


[PSData@cyfd.nm.gov](mailto:PSData@cyfd.nm.gov)




### Foster Care Entries, Exits, and Short Stays




### Children in Care by Placement Type (Count during the month)




### Placement Moves and Initial Relative Placements




### Children with a Plan of Adoption




### Caseworker Visits




### Resource Families




### New & Discontinued Resource Families




### Turnover & Vacancy Rates for Key PS Field Positions<sup>1</sup>




### Estimated Caseloads




### Repeat Maltreatment



### Maltreatment in Foster Care




### Permanency in 12 Months of Entry



Last 13 Months Report: Ending March 2026


Permanency in 12 Months for Children in Care 12-23 Months

Perm. In 12 Mos. (12-23)




Permanency in 12 Months for Children in Care 24+ Months

Perm. In 12 Mos. (24+)




Placement Moves Rate per 1,000 Days (Rolling 12 Months)


Placement Moves Rate




Pending TPRs




Percent of Pending TPRs filed within 45 days of Change of Plan




### Over Payments




### Number of Over Payments in Month



### PS Staff Monthly Supervision




### Percentage of Children Discharged by Discharge Type




### Family Outreach Community Prevention Response

\*Methodology Update Aug. 2025



### Family Outreach Community Prevention Response



Last 13 Months Report: Ending March 2026

County Data								
Reports		Investigations			Foster Care			
Accepted	% with 3+ Prior Reports	Total Pending	Overdue	% Sub	Entries	Exits	Short Stays	
Cibola	29	34.5%	16	0	42.9%	0	0	0
McKinley	63	20.6%	50	2	16.2%	0	3	0
San Juan	146	31.5%	186	17	25.2%	0	1	2
Sandoval	97	27.8%	146	52	18.0%	0	0	0
Torrance	18	44.4%	29	4	26.7%	0	0	0
Valencia	111	30.6%	132	10	20.8%	9	10	7
<b>Northwest Total</b>	<b>464</b>	<b>29.7%</b>	<b>559</b>	<b>85</b>	<b>21.2%</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>9</b>
Colfax/Union	17	29.4%	16	0	15.0%	1	0	0
San Miguel/Guad./Mora	27	29.6%	33	0	35.0%	3	1	1
Rio Arriba/Los Alamos	41	34.1%	136	78	38.1%	3	1	0
Santa Fe	96	24.0%	202	75	14.6%	6	0	1
Taos	28	53.6%	51	17	14.3%	1	1	0
<b>Northeast Total</b>	<b>209</b>	<b>31%</b>	<b>438</b>	<b>170</b>	<b>22.3%</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>2</b>
Bernalillo	727	29.6%	1324	511	14.4%	24	8	4
<b>Metro</b>	<b>727</b>	<b>29.6%</b>	<b>1324</b>	<b>511</b>	<b>14.4%</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>4</b>
Chaves	87	29.9%	151	52	38.3%	4	2	1
Curry	66	30.3%	107	32	12.4%	3	0	0
Quay/Harding/De Baca	12	33.3%	5	1	31.8%	1	0	0
Eddy	69	37.7%	66	2	25.7%	1	5	0
Lea	63	23.8%	65	5	26.0%	3	4	0
Roosevelt	16	25.0%	34	14	10.5%	1	0	0
<b>Southeast Total</b>	<b>313</b>	<b>30.4%</b>	<b>428</b>	<b>106</b>	<b>23.1%</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>1</b>
Doña Ana	286	31.8%	346	26	19.5%	9	7	2
Grant/Catron	38	39.5%	66	7	29.5%	1	1	0
Luna/Hidalgo	37	43.2%	38	0	18.4%	1	0	0
Otero	69	37.7%	89	7	12.9%	5	2	0
Sierra	18	22.2%	9	1	28.6%	0	0	0
Socorro	15	26.7%	10	1	43.8%	0	0	0
Lincoln	20	25.0%	23	0	0.0%	0	0	0
<b>Southwest Total</b>	<b>483</b>	<b>33.3%</b>	<b>581</b>	<b>42</b>	<b>19.9%</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>NEW MEXICO</b>	<b>2196</b>	<b>30.7%</b>	<b>3330</b>	<b>914</b>	<b>19.1%</b>	<b>80</b>	<b>46</b>	<b>18</b>

Last 13 Months Report: Ending March 2026

County Data								
Placements				Children with Plan of Adoption				
Total in Placement	% Placed with Relatives	Initial Relative Placements <sup>1</sup>	Placement Moves Rate <sup>2</sup>	Adoption Goal Only	TPR, No Resource	Pre-Adoptive Placement	Finalized Adoptions	
Cibola	8	37.5%	0.0%	0.00	0	0	0	0
McKinley	35	45.7%	0.0%	1.00	4	0	0	0
San Juan	75	33.3%	100.0%	2.67	25	5	0	0
Sandoval	27	55.6%	0.0%	7.17	0	2	0	0
Torrance	20	60.0%	0.0%	0.00	8	2	0	0
Valencia	140	40.7%	12.5%	5.64	17	8	0	0
<b>Northwest Total</b>	<b>305</b>	<b>42.0%</b>	<b>22.2%</b>	<b>3.95</b>	<b>54</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>
Colfax/Union	16	50.0%	0.0%	0.00	1	0	0	0
San Miguel/Guad./Mora	47	63.8%	75.0%	1.39	7	6	0	0
Rio Arriba/Los Alamos	34	47.1%	66.7%	3.92	1	8	0	1
Santa Fe	51	49.0%	57.1%	4.62	6	8	0	0
Taos	55	76.4%	0.0%	1.21	9	6	0	0
<b>Northeast Total</b>	<b>203</b>	<b>59.6%</b>	<b>56.3%</b>	<b>2.45</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>28</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>
Bernalillo	870	45.5%	32.1%	2.43	120	86	1	4
<b>Metro</b>	<b>870</b>	<b>45.5%</b>	<b>32.1%</b>	<b>2.43</b>	<b>120</b>	<b>86</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>4</b>
Chaves	157	29.3%	0.0%	1.26	40	20	0	0
Curry	101	37.6%	100.0%	2.60	24	10	0	0
Quay/Harding/De Baca	22	18.2%	100.0%	0.00	9	2	0	0
Eddy	95	54.7%	0.0%	4.27	6	7	3	1
Lea	131	42.7%	66.7%	3.05	22	12	6	0
Roosevelt	16	31.3%	0.0%	6.38	1	1	0	0
<b>Southeast Total</b>	<b>522</b>	<b>38.5%</b>	<b>42.9%</b>	<b>2.61</b>	<b>102</b>	<b>52</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>1</b>
Doña Ana	139	41.0%	45.5%	5.31	13	30	5	3
Grant/Catron	28	57.1%	100.0%	10.74	2	10	1	0
Luna/Hidalgo	32	46.9%	100.0%	1.01	8	3	0	0
Otero	53	39.6%	20.0%	8.87	3	20	0	2
Sierra	5	70.6%	0.0%	0.00	0	0	0	0
Socorro		100.0%	100.0%	5.78	0	1	0	0
Lincoln	274	0.0%	0.0%	12.90	0	1	0	0
<b>Southwest Total</b>	<b>274</b>	<b>46.0%</b>	<b>54.5%</b>	<b>6.22</b>	<b>26</b>	<b>65</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>5</b>
<b>NEW MEXICO</b>	<b>2174</b>	<b>44.7%</b>	<b>40.8%</b>	<b>3.15</b>	<b>326</b>	<b>248</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>11</b>

<sup>1</sup>Of total entries during the month, percentage of children placed with relatives as their first placement

<sup>2</sup>Placement moves per 1,000 days in foster care (all children). Calculated for the month only, not a rolling 12 months

Last 13 Months Report: Ending March 2026

County Data								
	Visits		Foster Care Providers				Staff2	
	Visits with Children	Visits with Parents1	Relatives	Non-Relatives	New Providers	Discontinued Providers	Turnover	Vacancy
Cibola	100.0%	0.0%	12	3	0	0	30.8%	0.0%
McKinley	100.0%	16.7%	16	11	1	0	77.4%	0.0%
San Juan	98.6%	24.6%	17	25	2	0	31.3%	9.1%
Sandoval	59.3%	6.1%	35	25	2	2	67.5%	12.5%
Torrance	90.0%	0.0%	5	5	0	1	44.4%	0.0%
Valencia	97.4%	14.7%	51	28	1	8	29.3%	10.5%
<b>Northwest Total</b>	<b>93.8%</b>	<b>14.6%</b>	<b>136</b>	<b>97</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>43.9%</b>	<b>7.6%</b>
Colfax/Union	100.0%	57.1%	7	4	0	0	117.1%	0.0%
San Miguel/Guad./Mora	93.2%	29.5%	15	11	1	0	22.0%	15.4%
Rio Arriba/Los Alamos	96.6%	21.4%	10	13	1	1	50.7%	12.5%
Santa Fe	51.1%	0.0%	31	15	3	2	48.8%	33.3%
Taos	96.3%	0.0%	23	6	2	2	46.2%	12.5%
<b>Northeast Total</b>	<b>85.0%</b>	<b>13.1%</b>	<b>86</b>	<b>49</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>48.3%</b>	<b>18.8%</b>
Bernalillo	45.5%	0.3%	240	154	16	10	74.4%	46.6%
<b>Metro</b>	<b>45.5%</b>	<b>0.3%</b>	<b>240</b>	<b>154</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>74.4%</b>	<b>46.6%</b>
Chaves	93.3%	9.3%	33	24	1	2	54.0%	19.0%
Curry	83.7%	0.0%	28	18	3	0	67.7%	7.7%
Quay/Harding/De Baca	90.5%	19.0%	3	6	2	0	54.5%	0.0%
Eddy	96.6%	6.8%	39	18	1	3	24.7%	17.6%
Lea	94.4%	2.1%	31	23	3	6	23.0%	10.5%
Roosevelt	75.0%	0.0%	6	5	2	1	57.1%	20.0%
<b>Southeast Total</b>	<b>91.6%</b>	<b>5.6%</b>	<b>140</b>	<b>94</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>42.4%</b>	<b>13.9%</b>
Doña Ana	100.0%	16.5%	39	48	4	9	51.7%	9.1%
Grant/Catron	87.5%	46.7%	11	3	2	2	34.3%	14.3%
Luna/Hidalgo	100.0%	41.7%	14	2	2	2	35.3%	0.0%
Otero	100.0%	48.6%	13	16	3	2	19.4%	8.3%
Sierra	0.0%	0.0%	0	1	0	0	218.2%	0.0%
Socorro	100.0%	52.9%	4	3	0	1	38.7%	33.3%
Lincoln	100.0%	0.0%	4	3	0	1	38.7%	33.3%
<b>Southwest Total</b>	<b>98.8%</b>	<b>29.2%</b>	<b>89</b>	<b>77</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>48.4%</b>	<b>10.3%</b>
<b>NEW MEXICO</b>	<b>73.2%</b>	<b>8.2%</b>	<b>691</b>	<b>471</b>	<b>53</b>	<b>55</b>	<b>52.2%</b>	<b>22.9%</b>

1Of parents with a recommended or court-ordered goal of reunification, those visited in their place of residence

2Turnover and vacancy rates are calculated here for key county-level field positions: Investigations, PPW, and Placement workers. Both regular and senior workers are included; supervisors are excluded.

Last 13 Months Report: Ending March 2026

County Data									
Estimated Caseloads			Federal/LFC Measures <sup>1</sup>						
Invest.	Perm. Planning	Plcmt.	Repeat Maltx	Maltx in Foster Care	Perm. In 12 Mos. of Entry	Perm. In 12 Mos. (12-23)	Perm. In 12 Mos (24+)	Placement Moves Rate	
Cibola	5.3	3.3	15.0	28.9%	0.0	0.0%	100.0%	72.7%	0.00
McKinley	12.8	7.8	15.5	19.8%	49.2	0.0%	50.0%	25.0%	2.87
San Juan	21.6	6.0	12.0	17.3%	22.0	9.1%	30.6%	41.7%	3.98
Sandoval	19.0	9.0	21.3	7.5%	29.8	0.0%	60.0%	0.0%	6.05
Torrance	15.0	10.0	14.0	9.1%	13.0	33.3%	23.1%	40.0%	0.00
Valencia	23.0	24.8	13.8	16.0%	21.1	42.5%	28.9%	51.2%	7.93
<b>Northwest Total</b>	<b>18.2</b>	<b>10.6</b>	<b>15.0</b>	<b>16.4%</b>	<b>24.3</b>	<b>25.8%</b>	<b>33.3%</b>	<b>45.5%</b>	<b>5.09</b>
Colfax/Union	8.0	18.0	12.0	25.6%	17.4	50.0%	66.7%	0.0%	6.99
San Miguel/Guad./Mora	8.3	11.5	10.3	18.7%	51.6	25.0%	45.5%	66.7%	8.68
Rio Arriba/Los Alamos	45.3	10.7	28.0	19.7%	7.5	6.3%	25.0%	44.4%	18.31
Santa Fe	35.5	26.0	24.0	16.3%	12.5	13.3%	30.0%	12.5%	13.51
Taos	26.5	17.7	15.5	13.3%	4.7	36.0%	28.6%	17.6%	5.07
<b>Northeast Total</b>	<b>26.5</b>	<b>15.5</b>	<b>16.7</b>	<b>17.8%</b>	<b>19.8</b>	<b>25.0%</b>	<b>34.0%</b>	<b>43.1%</b>	<b>10.23</b>
Bernalillo	46.3	30.8	34.5	16.8%	20.7	18.8%	8.7%	13.9%	6.21
Metro	46.3	30.8	34.5	16.8%	20.7	18.8%	8.7%	13.9%	6.21
Chaves	31.6	19.8	15.0	16.0%	13.8	21.5%	20.8%	17.8%	5.38
Curry	28.0	26.8	12.5	8.5%	2.6	35.4%	33.3%	22.9%	6.07
Quay/Harding/De Baca	2.5	16.0	9.0	22.4%	36.0	8.3%	27.3%	0.0%	0.00
Eddy	12.0	18.8	20.3	14.3%	34.3	27.9%	37.5%	57.1%	9.12
Lea	11.0	22.2	12.8	14.3%	21.1	22.8%	25.0%	41.7%	5.80
Roosevelt	38.0	8.5	14.0	13.0%	0.0	33.3%	0.0%	50.0%	17.52
<b>Southeast Total</b>	<b>18.8</b>	<b>20.2</b>	<b>14.3</b>	<b>14.3%</b>	<b>17.6</b>	<b>25.4%</b>	<b>27.7%</b>	<b>32.8%</b>	<b>7.12</b>
Doña Ana	17.0	10.8	15.1	17.9%	14.8	37.7%	65.4%	45.7%	11.04
Grant/Catron	22.7	25.0	8.5	14.8%	0.0	37.7%	23.1%	12.5%	18.89
Luna/Hidalgo	9.8	16.5	0.0	17.8%	0.0	57.1%	33.3%	71.4%	6.89
Otero	24.0	13.3	11.0	8.5%	38.6	0.0%	44.4%	20.0%	8.10
Sierra	9.0	0.0	0.0	4.5%	0.0	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.00
Socorro	10.0	5.0	9.0	31.8%	0.0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	3.23
Lincoln	0.0	5.0	9.0	31.8%	0.0	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	3.23
<b>Southwest Total</b>	<b>17.7</b>	<b>12.0</b>	<b>14.4</b>	<b>17.0%</b>	<b>18.8</b>	<b>35.0%</b>	<b>51.9%</b>	<b>37.3%</b>	<b>8.97</b>
<b>NEW MEXICO</b>	<b>25.5</b>	<b>17.8</b>	<b>19.0</b>	<b>16.4%</b>	<b>20.1</b>	<b>24.4%</b>	<b>23.7%</b>	<b>27.9%</b>	<b>7.06</b>

<sup>1</sup>Federal performance measures use a rolling 12-month measurement window that varies depending on the measure. While rolling measurement produces a more stable calculation, trends of improvement will be slow to appear.

For more information on how federal measures are calculated, see notes on the data starting on page 10.

Last 13 Months Report: Ending March 2026

County Data									
Over Payments						TPRs			
N Child Care Over Payments	N PS Over Payments	\$ Child Care Over Payments	\$ PS Over Payments	Total Over Payments	TPRs Pending	Pending TPRs Filed within 45 Days	% Pending TPRs Filed Timely	Children Freed	
Cibola	0	0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0	0	0.0%	0
McKinley	0	1	\$0	-\$45	-\$45	0	0	0.0%	0
San Juan	0	0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0	0	0.0%	0
Sandoval	0	1	\$0	-\$4	-\$4	0	0	0.0%	0
Torrance	0	0	\$0	\$0	\$0	2	0	0.0%	0
Valencia	0	5	\$0	-\$2,165	-\$2,165	1	0	0.0%	0
<b>Northwest Total</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>-\$2,214</b>	<b>-\$2,214</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0</b>
Colfax/Union	0	0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0	0	0.0%	0
San Miguel/Guad./Mora	0	1	\$0	-\$19	-\$19	0	0	0.0%	0
Rio Arriba/Los Alamos	0	0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0	0	0.0%	0
Santa Fe	0	0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0	0	0.0%	0
Taos	1	2	-\$1,950	-\$781	-\$2,731	1	0	0.0%	0
<b>Northeast Total</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>-\$1,950</b>	<b>-\$800</b>	<b>-\$2,750</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0</b>
Bernalillo	6	25	-\$7,437	-\$22,191	-\$29,628	3	0	0.0%	0
Metro	6	25	-\$7,437	-\$22,191	-\$29,628	3	0	0.0%	0
Chaves	2	3	-\$5,983	-\$690	-\$6,673	7	0	0.0%	0
Curry	0	2	\$0	-\$750	-\$750	3	0	0.0%	0
Quay/Harding/De Baca	0	0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0	0	0.0%	0
Eddy	1	6	-\$280	-\$906	-\$1,186	2	0	0.0%	3
Lea	0	5	\$0	-\$982	-\$982	0	0	0.0%	0
Roosevelt	0	1	\$0	-\$338	-\$338	0	0	0.0%	0
<b>Southeast Total</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>-\$6,263</b>	<b>-\$3,666</b>	<b>-\$9,929</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>3</b>
Doña Ana	2	0	-\$53	\$0	-\$53	6	0	0.0%	0
Grant/Catron	0	0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0	0	0.0%	0
Luna/Hidalgo	0	0	\$0	\$0	\$0	2	0	0.0%	0
Otero	0	0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0	0	0.0%	0
Sierra	0	0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0	0	0.0%	0
Socorro	0	1	\$0	-\$230	-\$230	0	0	0.0%	0
Lincoln	0	0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0	0	0.0%	0
<b>Southwest Total</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>-\$53</b>	<b>-\$230</b>	<b>-\$283</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0</b>
<b>NEW MEXICO</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>53</b>	<b>-\$15,703</b>	<b>-\$29,100</b>	<b>-\$44,804</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>3</b>

1TPR calculations are based on the days between the date that FACTs Notes the charge of Plan was ordered and the TPR was filed.

For more information on how federal measures are calculated, see notes on the data starting on page 10.

Last 13 Months Report: Ending March 2026

County Data								
Monthly PS Staff Supervision								
	N PS Staff Filled FTEs	% of PS Staff Supervised	N Field Staff FTEs	% of Field Staff Supervised	N Other Staff FTEs	% of Other Staff Supervised	N Lead. Staff FTEs	% Lead. Staff Supervised
Northern	33	0%	12	0%	19	0%	2	0
Metro	25	0%	7	0%	17	0%	1	0
Southern	16	0%	12	0%	4	0%	0	0
<b>FAMILY SERVICES</b>	<b>74</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>0%</b>
Northern	11	0%	7	0%	2	0%	2	0%
Metro	21	0%	15	0%	6	0%	0	0%
Southern	14	0%	14	0%	0	0%	0	0%
<b>FOST. CONNECTIONS</b>	<b>46</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>0%</b>
Northern	101	0%	93	0%	6	0%	2	0%
Metro	61	0%	53	0%	7	0%	1	0%
Southern	109	0%	102	0%	7	0%	0	0%
<b>INVESTIGATIONS</b>	<b>271</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>248</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>0%</b>
Northern	22	0%	0	0%	21	0%	1	0%
Metro	23	0%	0	0%	23	0%	0	0%
Southern	21	0%	0	0%	21	0%	0	0%
<b>LEGAL</b>	<b>66</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>65</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0%</b>
Northern	36	0%	4	0%	30	0%	2	0%
Metro	28	0%	0	0%	28	0%	0	0%
Southern	34	0%	2	0%	32	0%	0	0%
<b>OPERATIONS</b>	<b>98</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>90</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>0%</b>
Northern	78	0%	68	0%	8	0%	2	0%
Metro	55	0%	46	0%	9	0%	0	0%
Southern	96	0%	81	0%	13	0%	2	0%
<b>PERMANENCY</b>	<b>229</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>195</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>0%</b>
Northern	49	0%	34	0%	14	0%	1	0%
Metro	32	0%	23	0%	8	0%	1	0%
Southern	49	0%	40	0%	9	0%	0	0%
<b>PLACEMENT</b>	<b>130</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>97</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>0%</b>
Intake & REACH	67	0%	0	0%	66	0%	1	0%
Receiving Center	18	0%	0	0%	18	0%	0	0%
SCI	85	0%	0	0%	84	0%	1	0%
<b>NEW MEXICO</b>	<b>999</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>613</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>368</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>0%</b>

\*Supervisor Framework Survey not ran for March 2026

Last 13 Months Report: Ending March 2026

Family Outreach: Community Prevention Response *								
Families referred to Outreach	Outreach Accepted Screen Outs	% of Outreach Accepted Screen Outs	% Referred, not accepted by Outreach	% Outreach accepted, unable to contact	% Outreach accepted & referred to Srvs.	% Contact made, family did not accept Srvs.	%Engagemen t Rate	
Cibola	5	5	100.0%	0.0%	20.0%	40.0%	40.0%	50.0%
McKinley	5	0	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
San Juan	46	33	71.7%	28.3%	30.3%	13.0%	34.8%	27.3%
Sandoval	32	27	84.4%	15.6%	44.4%	25.0%	25.0%	50.0%
Torrance	6	5	83.3%	16.7%	60.0%	16.7%	16.7%	50.0%
Valencia	42	27	64.3%	35.7%	40.7%	11.9%	26.2%	31.3%
Northwest Total	136	97	71.3%	28.7%	38.1%	16.2%	27.9%	36.7%
Colfax/Union	1	0	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
San Miguel/Guad./Mora	12	9	75.0%	25.0%	11.1%	0.0%	66.7%	0.0%
Rio Arriba/Los Alamos	13	10	76.9%	23.1%	30.0%	15.4%	38.5%	28.6%
Santa Fe	27	23	85.2%	14.8%	34.8%	25.9%	29.6%	46.7%
Taos	6	4	66.7%	33.3%	50.0%	0.0%	33.3%	0.0%
Northeast Total	59	46	78.0%	22.0%	30.4%	15.3%	39.0%	28.1%
Bernalillo	262	183	69.8%	30.2%	39.9%	17.2%	25.2%	40.5%
Metro	262	183	69.8%	30.2%	39.9%	17.2%	25.2%	40.5%
Chaves	22	18	81.8%	18.2%	50.0%	18.2%	27.3%	40.0%
Curry	2	0	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Quay/Harding/De Baca	1	0	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Eddy	13	9	69.2%	30.8%	11.1%	15.4%	46.2%	25.0%
Lea	20	17	85.0%	15.0%	58.8%	5.0%	30.0%	14.3%
Roosevelt	1	0	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Southeast Total	59	44	74.6%	25.4%	45.5%	11.9%	30.5%	28.0%
Doña Ana	101	77	76.2%	23.8%	39.0%	25.7%	20.8%	55.3%
Grant/Catron	7	6	85.7%	14.3%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Luna/Hidalgo	13	9	69.2%	30.8%	33.3%	7.7%	38.5%	16.7%
Otero	26	21	80.8%	19.2%	19.0%	19.2%	53.8%	26.3%
Sierra	6	5	83.3%	16.7%	60.0%	0.0%	16.7%	0.0%
Socorro	5	3	60.0%	40.0%	66.7%	20.0%	0.0%	100.0%
Lincoln	7	6	85.7%	14.3%	33.3%	14.3%	42.9%	25.0%
Southwest Total	165	127	77.0%	23.0%	39.4%	20.6%	26.7%	43.6%
Unassigned	8							0
<b>TOTALS</b>	<b>689</b>	<b>500</b>	<b>72.6%</b>	<b>27.4%</b>	<b>38.8%</b>	<b>23.4%</b>	<b>37.8%</b>	<b>38.2%</b>

\*Methodology revised and engagement rate added by FO Management beginning in August 2025 (see pg. 19-20 for details)

Last 13 Months Report: Ending March 2026

County Data									
Discharge Reason									
	Total Children Discharged	Children Discharged to Guardianship	Adoptions	Reunification	Other				
Cibola	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
McKinley	3	0	0	1	2	0	0	0	0
San Juan	3	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0
Sandoval	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Torrance	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Valencia	18	8	0	10	0	0	0	0	0
<b>Northwest Total</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>
Colfax/Union	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
San Miguel/Guad./Mora	3	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0
Rio Arriba/Los Alamos	1	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0
Santa Fe	2	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0
Taos	1	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0
<b>Northeast Total</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>
Bernalillo	12	0	4	5	3	0	0	0	0
<b>Metro</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>
Chaves	2	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0
Curry	2	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0
Quay/Harding/De Baca	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Eddy	5	2	1	1	1	0	0	0	0
Lea	4	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	0
Roosevelt	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<b>Southeast Total</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>
Doña Ana	9	0	3	5	1	0	0	0	0
Grant/Catron	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
Luna/Hidalgo	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Otero	2	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sierra	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Socorro	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Lincoln	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<b>Southwest Total</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>
Family Resource Center	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Receiving Center	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Intake	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
SCI	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<b>NEW MEXICO</b>	<b>68</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>

*notes on the data*

**SCI Reports:** Counts of reports processed by SCI come from the FACTS system via the sm01a10 report. "Repeat Referrals" is defined here as any report involving a family who has 3 or more prior reports, identified in the sm01a07 report. Percentage of "repeat referrals" is calculated as follows:

<b>Numerator:</b>	Reports involving a family with 3+ prior reports
<b>Denominator:</b>	Total accepted reports

**Pending Investigations:** Counts are pulled from ROM on the last day of each month. The totals are shown above each column.

**Completed Investigations:** These data are pulled from ROM and include a total of all investigations closed during the month. Percentage of cases substantiated is shown as an unlabeled line on the secondary (right-hand) axis. This percentage is calculated as follows:

<b>Numerator:</b>	Substantiated investigations
<b>Denominator:</b>	Total completed investigations

**Foster Care Entries, Exits, and Short Stays:** These data come from ROM. Both entries and exits exclude short stays, which are defined as foster care episodes lasting 8 or fewer days.

**Children in Care by Placement Type (during the month):** These data are pulled from ROM and visualization is focused on relative placements. The category of "other" includes congregate care settings, runaways, children on trial home visits, and children in pre-adoptive homes. A greater level of detail can be found in ROM ("Placement Type").

**Placement Moves and Initial Relative Placements:** These data are calculated through ROM. The placement moves rate shown in this chart is a month-to-month rate, rather than the rolling 12-month measure included as a federal indicator. Percent of all entries is calculated with short stayers included. These measures are calculated as follows:

<b>Initial Relative Placements</b>		<b>Placement Moves Rate</b>	
<b>Numerator:</b>	Children placed with relatives at removal	<b>Numerator:</b>	Moves from one placement to another in the month
<b>Denominator:</b>	Children placed in care for any length of stay	<b>Denominator:</b>	Total days in foster care during the month by all children in care
			<b>x 1000</b>

**Children with a Plan of Adoption:** These data, which come from ROM, are intended to track statewide progress addressing adoption and TPR backlogs. Generally, we hope to see the distribution of children with an adoption goal skew more toward the top of the column. We have seen some steady progress on this issue thanks to numerous efforts around the state.

*notes on the data (continued)*

**Caseworker Visits:** Caseworker visits with children are displayed in ROM in two reports: One showing a point-in-time count of completed visits, and one showing a historical percentage of completed visits for previous months. At this time, there is no ROM report that captures worker-parent visits. These percentages are calculated twice each month using FACTS report sm0809. The report is run one week prior to the end of the month, and again one week after the end of the month. Data presented in this document are based on the latter. The percentages are calculated as follows:

Worker-Child Visits		Worker-Parent Visits	
<b>Numerator:</b>	Number of children with a visit during the month	<b>Numerator:</b>	Number of parents visited in their place of residence during the month
<b>Denominator:</b>	Children in foster care for the entire month	<b>Denominator:</b>	Parents of children in custody with a court-ordered or recommended plan of reunification

**Providers:** PS continues efforts to recruit and retain a diverse pool of foster parents to meet the needs of our children in care. Data used for this reporting is obtained from the FACTS report pm02a01. Foster parents who are newly licensed or no longer providing services are identified through month-to-month comparison of this licensed provider inventory.

**Turnover & Vacancy Rates for Key PS Field Positions:** These data are obtained from PS human resources. For this report, turnover and vacancy are presented for key county-level field positions: Investigations, Permanency Planning, and Placement workers and senior workers. Supervisors are excluded. A broader turnover calculation is reported quarterly to the Legislative Finance Committee. The positions included here drive turnover and vacancy at the county level, and staff retention for these positions is crucial for casework continuity and positive client outcomes. They are calculated as follows:

Vacancy Rate		Turnover Rate	
<b>Numerator:</b>	Number of vacancies (last day of month)	<b>Numerator:</b>	Rolling 12-month sum of voluntary staff separations
<b>Denominator:</b>	Total number of positions	<b>Denominator:</b>	Rolling 12-month average number of filled positions

**Estimated Caseloads:** These are calculated using data from ROM, FACTS reports, and PS human resources. Each caseload calculation is based on a different definition of "case," depending on the position. Investigation caseloads are calculated using all pending reports and those that come in during the month (divided by number of investigators); permanency planning caseloads are based on the number of children in foster care during the month (divided by number of PPWs); and placement caseloads are calculated based on the number of provider families, including ICPC families residing in-state (divided by number of placement workers). The Child Welfare League of America has established maximum caseload standards, which are included in the chart legend.

**Repeat Maltreatment:** This measure is calculated for us in ROM and is a federal reporting measure that uses a 12-month rolling reporting period. Because the children in the denominator experienced their initial incident of maltreatment (substantiated allegation) at least one year ago, improvement on this measure can take some time to show in the data.

<b>Target: &lt; 9.1 %</b>	<b>Numerator:</b>	Of the denominator, number of children who had another incident of maltreatment within 12 months
	<b>Denominator:</b>	Number of unique children who experienced maltreatment during the 12-month target period (12-23 months ago)

*notes on the data (continued)*

<p><b>Maltreatment in Foster Care: This measure is calculated for us in ROM and is a federal reporting measure that uses a 12-month rolling reporting period. It calculates a rate of maltreatment for children in foster care based on when they are in foster care, regardless of the perpetrator's relationship to the child. The rate is based on 100,000 foster care days.</b></p>		
<p><b>Target: &lt; 8.5</b></p>	<p><b>Numerator:</b> Number of substantiated reports pertaining to children included in the denominator calculation</p> <p><b>Denominator:</b> Number of total days spent in foster care by the population of foster children in a rolling 12-month period</p>	$\frac{\text{Numerator}}{\text{Denominator}} \times 100,000$
<p><b>Permanency in 12 Months of Entry: This measure is calculated for us in ROM and is a federal reporting measure that uses a 12-month rolling reporting period. It uses an entry cohort of children in foster care that goes back a few years so that its companion measure, foster care re-entries, can be calculated using the children in this numerator as the latter measure's denominator. As such, practice improvements pertaining to this outcome measure can be very slow to affect this measure.</b></p>		
<p><b>Target: &gt; 40.5%</b></p>	<p><b>Numerator:</b> Of the denominator, children for whom permanency (reunification, adoption, or permanent guardianship) is achieved within 12 months</p> <p><b>Denominator:</b> Number of children who entered foster care (excluding short-stayers) during the 12-month period ending two years before the current month</p>	
<p><b>Permanency in 12 Months for Children in Care 12-23 Months: This measure is calculated similarly to the one above, only this measure's "entry cohort" includes children who have already been in foster care for 12-23 months at the start of the target period. This measure helps us assess our ongoing efforts to achieve permanent outcomes for children for whom we do not do so within the first year.</b></p>		
<p><b>Target: &gt; 43.6%</b></p>	<p><b>Numerator:</b> Of the denominator, children for whom permanency (reunification, adoption, or permanent guardianship) is achieved within 12 months</p> <p><b>Denominator:</b> Number of children who had been in foster care for 12-23 months at the start of a rolling 12-month period</p>	
<p><b>Permanency in 12 Months for Children in Care 24+ Months: This measure is calculated similarly to the ones above, only this measure's "entry cohort" includes children who have already been in foster care for 24 months or more at the start of the target period. This measure helps us assess our ongoing efforts to achieve permanent outcomes for "long-stayers," a group that often includes children with complex needs.</b></p>		
<p><b>Target: &gt; 30.3%</b></p>	<p><b>Numerator:</b> Of the denominator, children for whom permanency (likely adoption or permanent guardianship) is achieved within 12 months</p> <p><b>Denominator:</b> Number of children who had been in foster care for 24+ months at the start of a rolling 12-month period</p>	
<p><b>Placement Moves Rate per 1,000 Days of Foster Care (Rolling 12 Months): This is the federal version of the less stable monthly placement moves rate calculation. It uses a rolling 12 month period of measurement, but is calculated the same way. Due to the 12-month time period, initiatives intended to improve placement stability will not impact this outcome very quickly; short-term assessment would be better served using the monthly calculation.</b></p>		
<p><b>Target: &lt; 4.12</b></p>	<p><b>Numerator:</b> Number of placement moves</p> <p><b>Denominator:</b> Total days in foster care during the rolling 12-month period (ending with the current month) by all children in care</p>	$\frac{\text{Numerator}}{\text{Denominator}} \times 1,000$

*notes on the data (continued)*

**PS Staff Monthly Supervision: This measure is calculated on a monthly basis reflecting a ratio between total supervision for Protective Services (PS) Staff and Filled FTEs (Full-Time Employees). 'PS Staff' includes; Field Staff, Other Staff & Leadership Staff.**

<b>Target: &gt; 80%</b>	<b>Numerator:</b>	Number of 'PS Staff' Supervised for the reported time period.
	<b>Denominator:</b>	Number of total Filled 'PS Staff' FTEs (Full Time Employees) for the reported time period

**Field Staff Monthly Supervision: This measure is calculated on a monthly basis reflecting a ratio between total supervision for PS Field Staff and Field FTEs (Full-Time Employees). PS Field Staff includes: COMs, Investigations, In-Home Services, Permanency, Placement.**

<b>Target: &gt; 80%</b>	<b>Numerator:</b>	Number of 'Field Staff' Supervised for the reported time period.
	<b>Denominator:</b>	Number of total Filled 'Field Staff' FTEs (Full Time Employees) for the reported time period

**Other Staff Monthly Supervision: This measure is calculated on a monthly basis reflecting a ratio between total supervision for PS Other Staff and Other FTEs (Full-Time Employees). PS 'Other Staff' include and are not limited to: All non-field/non-caseload work roles (Admin., Legal, Adoptions, Central Office Special Programs etc.)**

<b>Target: &gt; 80%</b>	<b>Numerator:</b>	Number of 'Other Staff' Supervised for the reported time period.
	<b>Denominator:</b>	Number of total Filled 'Other Staff' FTEs (Full Time Employees) for the reported time period

**Leadership Staff Monthly Supervision: This measure is calculated on a monthly basis reflecting a ratio between total supervision for Protective Services Leadership Staff and Leadership FTEs (Full-Time Employees). PS 'Leadership Staff' includes: all leadership roles from Regional Office Manager and above.**

<b>Target: &gt; 80%</b>	<b>Numerator:</b>	Number of 'Leadership Staff' Supervised for the reported time period.
	<b>Denominator:</b>	Number of total Filled 'Leadership Staff' FTEs (Full Time Employees) for the reported time period

**Families Referred to Family Outreach & Community Prevention: All Families referred to Family Outreach through SCI, the community or self-referrals for eligibility.**

**Families Accepted by Family Outreach & Community Prevention: All Families referred to Family Outreach through SCI, the community or self-referrals that are accepted.**

<b>Target: &gt;</b>	<b>Numerator:</b>	Number of Families accepted by Family Outreach for the reported time period
	<b>Denominator:</b>	Number of Families referred to Family Outreach through SCI, community or self-referrals for eligibility.

*notes on the data (continued)*

<p><b>Families Not Accepted by Family Outreach &amp; Community Prevention: Families that did not meet Family Outreach criteria.</b></p> <p><b>(Families referred to Outreach minus Families accepted = Referred, not accepted by Outreach/vetoed)</b></p>		
Target: >	<b>Numerator:</b>	Number of Outreach not accepted (Vetoed) for the reported time period.
	<b>Denominator:</b>	Number of Families referred to Family Outreach through SCI, community or self-referrals for eligibility.
<p><b>Families Accepted and Unable to Contact by Family Outreach &amp; Community Prevention: Families accepted but advocates are unable to contact despite best efforts, those referrals are documented on the Family Outreach Log Family Outreach Contact tab as being Unable to Contact.</b></p>		
Target: >	<b>Numerator:</b>	Number of Outreach accepted in which no contact was made with the family for the reported time period.
	<b>Denominator:</b>	Number of Families accepted by Family Outreach for the reported time period
<p><b>Families Accepted &amp; Referred to Services by Family Outreach &amp; Community Prevention: Total number of Families referred to resources, pending questionnaire/referral form completion, pending provider staffing/acceptance of case, and referral created.</b></p>		
Target: >	<b>Numerator:</b>	Number of Outreach accepted screen outs in which referral was made to services for the reported time period.
	<b>Denominator:</b>	Number of Families accepted by Family Outreach for the reported time period
<p><b>Families that did not accept services from Family Outreach &amp; Community Prevention: Total number of families that declined Family Outreach services, Families that are already engaged in outside services, and Families disengaged from Family Outreach before questionnaire/referral.</b></p>		
Target: >	<b>Numerator:</b>	Number of Outreach accepted Families where contact was made and Family declined services for the reported time period.
	<b>Denominator:</b>	Number of Families accepted by Family Outreach for the reported time period
<p><b>Family Outreach &amp; Community Prevention, Family Engagement Rate: Total number of families engaged if they are currently working with an Advocate, receptive of informal connections to resources and/or referred to a contracted community-based Family Outreach provider.</b></p>		
Target: >	<b>Numerator:</b>	Families referred to resources, pending questionnaire/referral form completion, pending provider staffing/acceptance of case, and referral created.
	<b>Denominator:</b>	Families where Family Outreach staff made contact and referred to services plus families where contact was made and services were declined.
<p><b>Children Discharged to Guardianship: This measure is calculated on a monthly basis reflecting a ratio between total children discharged and children discharged to guardianship.</b></p>		
Target: >	<b>Numerator:</b>	Total children discharged from foster care for the reported time period.
	<b>Denominator:</b>	Total children discharged to guardianship for the reported time period.







































